

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT DEVILS HOLE

Death Valley National Park

March 2010

INTRODUCTION

This Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) has been prepared for the proposed action at Devils Hole, Death Valley National Park, in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). This document describes the selected alternative and the potential significant effects on the human environment. As stated in the Environmental Assessment (EA), the proposed action refers to (1) the fencing improvements, enclosure of the visitors platform, and installation of strategic locations around the site; (2) installation of a webcam at Devils Hole for research and monitoring activities; (3) addition of a webcam onsite and other displays at the site; and (4) of the disturbed areas.

Devils Hole is a 40-acre site located on lands within the Death Valley National Park (AMNWR) but managed as a detached unit of Death Valley National Park. The site contains a cavepool, the collapsed top of a stratovolcano, which contains the single remaining population of an endangered fish (Cyprinodon diabolis). The Park manages the ongoing research and collaboration with the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS) (NDOW), and attempts to secure and enhance the resources and support for protection of the habitat features on which the fish depend. The groundwater table at sufficiently high depth below the surface of the cavepool and spawning activities of the fish.

PURPOSE AND NEED FOR FEDERAL ACTION

The purpose of the proposal is to redesign the management plan that does no permanent harm to the species or its habitat.

- Provide the species and habitat with better protection
- Improve interpretive and educational opportunities
- Enable safe and effective scientific research and education
- Restore the natural ecosystem processes upon which the fish depend

The need for federal action is based primarily on the status of the pupfish, composed as it is of a single small population of individuals, the lowest on record, furthering a trend of decline. The spring count in April of 2009 was 70 (± 13.5 SE), like previous surveys. The fragility of the population is due to a combination of factors inherent to the Devils Hole site. Specifically, the Devils Hole pupfish is a

habitat as
pupfish h
habitat o:
decline a

Threats t
factors su
vandalism
groundw
research
be better

Objective
ecologica
pupfish,
pressures
education
need for

RANGE

Three alt
improver
objective

Selected

For impl
changes

Fencelin
addition
Devils H
Existing
reuse els
boundar
angled to
existing
to the tra

This Tw
visitors 1
high. D
fencing
Visitors'

installing posts every 8 feet using a galvanized aluminum posts into nor

To further enhance drainage, a floor the 'dogleg' fenced area.

Visitors Platform, Access Trail & In trail to the Visitors' Viewing Platform existing trail from the east perimeter the new Twinbar High Security fence child viewing. The tunnel will be added to the existing trail, but implemented as necessary for safety.

The interpretive theme is based on a full-motion video cam located in the Death Valley/Ash Meadows web site featured in an interpretive display at Park's Furnace Creek Visitor Center talking about the importance of Devils major improvement of the Park's all

Access Ladder - The existing ad hoc to as a ships ladder. The existing support. Like the existing support, the new support impede the natural drainage. New support's anchors are found to be in

Handrails would be required on both ladder would be fabricated offsite. For access to the Hole, a crane would be

Monitoring Platform & Equipment monitoring platform that can be stored and assembled as needed. The platform approximately 40 lbs. The platform for researchers to easily georeference the

The entire stilling well and frame still transducers and a new staff gauge (to measure water level.

Security System - One of the existing full-motion video camera and the security capability. To enhance remote monitoring compositing software will be installed

This image is a scan of a blank white piece of paper. It contains no text, figures, or tables. There are a few small, dark specks scattered across the surface, which appear to be dust or scanning artifacts. The overall background is a uniform off-white color.

[illegible]

This image is a scan of a blank white piece of paper. It contains no text, figures, or tables. There are a few small, dark specks scattered across the surface, which appear to be dust or scanning artifacts. The overall background is a uniform off-white color.

This image is a scan of a blank white piece of paper. It contains no text, figures, or tables. There are a few small, dark specks scattered across the surface, which appear to be dust or scanning artifacts. The overall background is a uniform off-white color.

This image is a scan of a blank white piece of paper. It contains no text, figures, or tables. There are a few small, dark specks scattered across the surface, which appear to be dust or scanning artifacts. The overall background is a uniform off-white color.

[illegible][illegible][illegible]

from a camera inside the
talking about the import

Access Ladder - Alternative
accessibility by adding a
the handrail continues down

Monitoring Platform &
structure bolted to the existing
operated pulley system in
position and bolted to the
temporary scaffolding or
vibration tests would be

The stilling well and access
Installation in the new low
stilling well frame. The
of the cave. There would
easily accessible from the
platform cannot be fully
occupy the same space),
surface during removal of

Security System - For Access
would be refurbished to
infrared (IR) capability.
capability, although only
motion images to the Ranger
communications tower via
along with improved intercom
would be installed, with
located at the Ranger Station
northeast face of the cliff
installed on the ceiling of
of the visitors to some extent
watershed edge, an additional
steering towards the upper

Communications Infrastructure
infrastructure for communications.

Power Supply - Alternative
monitors. This would include
battery charging system

ground at the same location as the existing array, with the large storage batteries stored underneath (and thus shaded by) the solar array.

Site revegetation - Restoration in Alternative B would consist of accelerating the re-establishment of the natural mix of plant species.

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED BUT DISMISSED

During the scoping phase and in drafting the EA, the Park considered the following options and concepts, but dismissed them from further consideration as alternatives for the reasons given.

1) Bridge over the north side of Hole - Since one of the objectives is to improve the interpretative and educational opportunities for visitors, one option considered was constructing a bridge over the north side of the hole. This would orient visitors looking south over the hole and beyond, thereby giving them a broader view of the entire setting than a platform looking north. A separate interpretive area would be constructed adjacent to the bridge. Because of physical site limitations, this bridge would have to extend directly over the Hole itself. This was deemed infeasible because: (i) Such construction would be prohibitively expensive in relation to its benefits for the recovery of the pupfish; (ii) While providing a broader view of the setting and thereby providing additional educational opportunities for understanding the role of the site in the broader ecosystem, the actual views of the Hole itself would be limited except for directly underfoot, which is felt to be limiting for many visitors even if a glass floor were used for the bridge span; and (iii) It was felt that any alternative that allowed visitors unsupervised access inside the fencing should allow direct views of the Hole itself. Otherwise, there would be little benefit to allowing such access.

2) Extending grid power and landline telecommunications - Currently all activities at the site requiring power are served by a small solar array—3 solar panels, generating 37 watts, and a charge controller—located a few yards from the visitors platform. Data communications within and from the site are over cellular phone infrastructure. The proposed security and interpretive improvements will require additional power and data bandwidth.

One powering option considered by the Park team was to extend grid power from a terminus about 1.5 miles away, within AMNWR. Power would be extended by conventional utility lines to the site. This would require extending above-ground utility poles and lines from the terminus to the site. While this is technically possible, it was considered to be unnecessarily disruptive and costly, as well as requiring levels of interagency negotiation that could cause delays in the implementation, especially given the natural suitability of solar power for the Devils Hole site.

Similarly, telecommunications bandwidth for security and interpretive functions, using video, two-way voice, and internet, could be delivered by extending landlines from the AMNWR terminus. However, the Park team felt that similar considerations of cost, environmental disruption, and interagency delays make this option infeasible for this project, especially given the relative simplicity of a private satellite ISP system located at the site.

3) Joining the monitoring platform directly to the ladder - One of the options considered in designing secure and convenient access for researchers was improving convenience by constructing

a single structure
The Park team felt
just beyond the shore
the ground and over

4) *Live video monitoring*
proposed interpretive
cameras scanning
interpretive station
connections to the
daytime, the need
make this option possible
creating additional

5) *Reconfiguration*
access for staff and
School Springs with
this way, the existing
favor of a less substantial
favor of a new path
to Devils Hole, no
additional distance
be needed, causing
discussions with
traffic and/or any

6) *Building a new*
for a new visitors
overall, while pre-

6a) Elevated location
expanded fence line
while keeping the
onsite evaluations
surface would create

6b) A location at the
supervised tours of
location when a better
and the potential for
considered infeasible

ENVIRONMENT

The environmentally preferred alternative is the course of action which will best promote the national environmental policy expressed in NEPA (Section 101(b)). This environmental policy is stated in six goal statements, which include:

1. Fulfill the responsibilities of each generation as trustee of the environment for succeeding generations;
2. Assure for all Americans safe, healthful, productive, and esthetically and culturally pleasing surroundings;
3. Attain the widest range of beneficial uses of the environment without degradation, risk to health and safety, or other undesirable and unintended consequences;
4. Preserve important historic, cultural, and natural aspects of our national heritage, and maintain, wherever possible, an environment which supports diversity and variety of individual choice;
5. Achieve a balance between population and resource use which will permit high standards of living and a wide sharing of life's amenities; and
6. Enhance the quality of renewable resources and approach the maximum attainable recycling of depletable resources (NEPA, 42 USC 4321-4347).

As described in the EA, the Park selected its Environmentally Preferred Alternative by comparing natural and human impacts for each project component:

Fenceline—Alternative A expands the existing fenceline to include more but not all of the water drains into Devils Hole, with a floodgate installed within the fence to allow natural sediment to reach Devils Hole. This “dogleg” fenceline would install less linear fencing, and thus require substantially less fencing material and construction disturbance than Alternative B, which extends the fenceline to encompass the entire drainage area. The dogleg fenceline of Alternative A provides improved natural drainage to Devils Hole, but natural flows are still artificially constrained by the fence. Furthermore, releases through the floodgate concentrate flows along a relatively narrow channel, increasing the flow rate and the probability of soil erosion. Alternative B would create more disturbance during installation, but these impacts would be short-term, compared to the long-term benefits of restoring fully natural drainage. Therefore, the fenceline in Alternative B is environmentally preferred.

Visitors Platform, Access & Interpretation—Both alternatives retain the existing visitors platform and remove the fencing below. Alternative A constructs an enclosed access trail and encloses the platform itself, thereby allowing more secure unsupervised use, and preventing off-trail disturbance. Alternative B eliminates unsupervised access and adds supervised interpretive tours, thereby eliminating the need for enclosures around the trail and platform. The enclosure of the platform and trail in Alternative A will cause more construction disturbance than Alternative B, as well as detract from the visitor experience and cultural integrity of the site by its intrusive visual presence. Both alternatives similarly improve the interpretation material onsite and at the Visitors Center, but supervised tours provide a further opportunity for visitor education. Therefore, the trail and interpretation of Alternative A provide a lighter footprint and greater opportunity for education; thus Alternative B is environmentally preferred for these components.

Access Ladder—The ship's ladder in Alternative A would improve safety and convenience for researchers by a greater amount than the handrails in Alternative B. While Alternative A could cause more disturbance during installation, the use of BMPs would minimize this, and the ladder would protect researchers from disturbing the cliffside during all subsequent descents, as would still be necessary in Alternative B.

repeated pla
Alternative .

Monitoring .
installation,
drilling and
with careful
solution for
whereas in F
well will be
equipment is

Security Sys
maintenance

Communica
dish for prov
communicat
would enabl
on limited e
to improved
solution.

Power Supp
disturbance
Therefore, n

Site reveget
faster becau
faster vegeta
establishmen
preferred.

Of the proje
prevails in f
benefits of A
revegetation
environmen

DECISION

The Park's
described al
pupfish and
vandalism a
activities. T
favor of the
natural and

MI

Ex
Al
Ap
the

W.
TF

Im
pr
wh

Im
bu
Th
dis
de
ins
ca
la
wa

De
Th
mo
pe
an
co

Ur
pa
De
rej
ad
en
th

De
Po
th
Ar
Ti
pr

impact analysis process, and made modifications and planned minimization activities to address tribal concerns, such that implementing the selected actions will not be highly controversial.

Degree to which the potential effects are highly uncertain or involve unique or unknown risks.

Generally, the potential impacts are well-defined and analyzed in the EA. The impacts of ground disturbance are well understood, and will be mitigated or minimized through the implementation of a series of measures identified in Appendix A. Many variables beyond the Park's control can influence survival and recovery of the Devils Hole pupfish, but it is certain that controlling or avoiding the risks to the pupfish's habitat and ecosystem from an insecure site is a necessary, if not sufficient, condition for their recovery. Beyond the pupfish's recovery, there are no other unique or unknown risks from the actions to be taken.

Degree to which the action may establish a precedent for future actions with significant effects or represents a decision in principle about a future consideration.

The selected alternative does not establish precedent for any future actions that may have significant effects, nor does it represent decisions about future considerations. The NPS proposed these actions in accordance with the statutory mandate to protect the Park's natural resources, its General Management Plan objective to "preserve the...natural resources of these unique natural landscapes", and the Park's responsibility to implement the 1980 Recovery Plan for the endangered Devils Hole pupfish. Any future actions serving the same purposes as this one will be evaluated independently against the Park's overall objectives and constraints.

Whether the action is related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts.

The EA considered the cumulative impacts of Alternative A with several past, present, and foreseeable future projects, and determined that implementation would result in minimal and not collectively significant cumulative effects.

Degree to which districts, sites, highways, structures, or objects listed on National Register of Historic Places or may cause loss or destruction of significant scientific, cultural, or historical resources may be adversely affected.

Devils Hole is believed to fit the definition of a Traditional Cultural Property, owing to its historic connection with the Timbisha Shoshone and Pahrump Paiute tribes. Additionally, both groups have identified the Ash Meadows area as a Traditional Cultural Landscape, with Devils Hole as one landmark within that larger landscape. As such, the Park has undertaken consultations with these tribes on the effects of the proposed action pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. The tribes are also allowed access to the property under The American Indian Religious Freedom Act of 1978.

Degree to which an endangered or threatened species or its critical habitat may adversely affected

This federal action was proposed for the specific purpose of aiding the recovery of a federally listed species, the Devils Hole pupfish. The Biological Assessment prepared for this proposal, and accompanying the EA, indicated the Park's determinations for the Devils Hole pupfish are: (1) "May affect, not likely to adversely affect"; and (2) there would be "No Effect" on any of the other species of concern in the Ash Meadows area. The U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service concurred in this conclusion in a letter dated May 16, 2009.

Whether the actions may violate Federal, state, or local environmental protection law.
Implementing the selected alternative does not violate any federal, state or local environmental protection laws.

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

A public scoping letter describing the Proposed Action and requesting public input on the proposal was distributed to private parties, State, Federal, and local agencies in November, 2007. Two public meetings were held which drew a total of five participants. The main issues raised by participants were: (1) the importance of communicating the pupfish “story” and its significance to the public, while (2) minimizing the actual disturbance to the habitat itself that could be caused by attracting more visitors. The Park staff gathered specific suggestions for how off-site interpretation could be enhanced towards meeting both goals simultaneously. The external scoping period ended on December 26, 2007.

The Environmental Assessment was made available to interested parties from June 12 through August 12, 2009. Copies of the EA were distributed, and it was also made available at public libraries to enhance the availability of the EA. The opportunity for public review was announced through issuance of a press release and mailing of a “dear friends” letter.

Six letters were received from interested individuals, the Sierra Club Toiyabe Chapter, Nye County Nuclear Waste Repository Project Office and the Amargosa Volunteer Fire Department. The main issues raised through comments were: (1) accuracy of information pertaining to hydrologic connectivity, current pupfish counts, and related information, and (2) concerns regarding monitoring and research activities outside the scope of this assessment.

An Errata has been prepared documenting corrections needed in the EA, and includes a summary of and responses to comments received. However, none of the comments received altered any of the determinations about potential environmental consequences.

AGENCY CONSULTATION

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service: The Park sent a Biological Assessment and a consultation request to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) on April 16, 2009. On May 18, 2009 FWS sent a reply concurring that, if the proposed action were carried out with the minimization measures identified in the Biological Assessment, it is not likely to adversely affect the Devils Hole pupfish (this reply concluded informal consultation).

Nevada State Historic Preservation Officer: The Park sent a letter to the Nevada State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) on March 27, notifying them of the details of the project. There will be no effect on cultural or archaeological sites; therefore no consultation with the SHPO was completed for this project.

Tribal Consults: In addition, the Park has had meetings and correspondence with two tribes with historic relationships to the Devils Hole site, the Timbisha Shoshone and Pahrump Piute tribes,

pursuant to §106 of
seq.; NHPA). As p
ongoing.

IMPAIRMENT DE

In addition to dismi
implementation of
impairment of Dea
impacts to a resour
park's establishing
opportunities for ei
plan or other relev
the foreseeable env
accompanying Bio
consultations, cons
decision-maker gu

CONCLUSION

Based upon the con
the EA, the capabil
with due considera
undertaken, the Pa
will have a signific
impacts that could
significant impacts
resources, or other
unique or unknown
identified. Implem
on the foregoing, it
be prepared. Imple

Recommended: _____

Su

Approved: *Pa*

acting Reg

A Mitigation and

Measures that would be implemented to minimize impacts on environmental resources as a result of the alternatives are described below.

Minimizing vibration while drilling into rock because of the proximity of sensitive fish to the vibrations of approximately 2 inches per second per second in a 7000-lb. rock (Note: Not the rocks by drilling a single hole into the rock and observing vibration. The largest rock sizes feasible to the hole. In addition, using the minimum size of hole to minimize vibration. In addition, such hammering would minimize the deposition of shavings and small rock fragments.

During project implementation, standard best management practices for all phases of construction, rehabilitation, and maintenance will be used to control or reduce potential adverse impacts from species propagation, vegetation removal, and adverse effects from rock drilling in close proximity to the resources. Other measures would be implemented to minimize impacts on environmental resources as a result of the activities. The NPS would implement these measures as follows: the following mitigation measures, in conjunction with local, state, and federal regulations and permits, to avoid significant impacts to the environment.

Soil & Water Resources

- BMPs at construction sites typically consist of silt fences, straw bales, soil moistening, and other measures to control erosion on portions of the site perimeter to control erosion.
- These temporary erosion prevention measures will be used until the vegetation is firmly established and soil erosion is controlled.
- Regular inspections of the erosion and sediment control measures will be conducted after any storm event;
- The amount of vegetative clearing during construction will be minimized to protect the soil cover and minimize erosion.
- Under all circumstances, sediment runoff will be controlled to avoid entering any nearby surface or groundwater.
- Care should be taken when working on steep slopes to avoid erosion of sediment and soils in the riparian zone.

- Project components incorporate the na
extent possible;
- All fuels should be s
potential for soil c
- To the extent possib
minimize the flow
- A person(s) should b
monitors the fuelin
absorbent material
event of an accide
- The area of disturba
be kept on the roa
to the roadway, to
- Construction areas w
some similar mate
construction zone
protection measur
would be instructe
delineated by the c
previously disturb
- Topsoil would be re
construction is cor
- Disturbed areas wou
potential for erosio
in the Park or from
Revegetation effor
diversity of native
- Subsequent to projec
invasive species o
- Construction vehicle
pollutants to the at
frequently to ident
and only equipmen
- Fuel and oil services
from channels or c
tanks and on-site a
- Biological soil crust
areas near but out
- Gravel and fill for co
weed-free sources
sources. There wo
AMNWR.

Biological Resources

- During construction activities, NPS would ensure that activities are not adversely affect
- Any area of undeveloped land would be re through soil stabilization BMPs and reve
- Approval would be obtained prior to the us that any fill/seed materials are certified v
- Construction activities would be timed to r Construction activities would not take pl between February and May.
- To the extent possible, construction activit when barn owls are nesting in the cavern Townsend's big-eared bat (*Corynorhinus*
- All electrical equipment should be properl
- Crews will not work in storms
- Exposed wires will be kept as far away fro

Air Quality

- Implementation of reasonable measures, su stockpiles of dirt, would occur when wir fugitive dust emissions. Adhering to the emissions.

Cultural Resources

- If previously undiscovered archeological r in the immediate vicinity of the discover identified and documented, and an appro with the Nevada State Historic Preservat funerary objects, sacred objects, or objec construction, provisions outlined in the l Act (25 United States Code §3001) of 19

Park Operations

- Any area with vegetation clearing or const requiring the use of hard hats.
- Others specific to protection of site-specific fe

Visitor Use and Experience, Visitor Safety, a
Measures designed to minimize visitor disruptio
Generally accepted methods to protect public he
experience include, but would not be limited to

- Notification to travelers about site closure
Visitors Centers
- Well-tuned construction equipment with p would be performed during low visitatio would occur during winter months wher

- The multiple environmental benefits of the proposed action would be explained to visitors to maximize public support and understanding. For example, there could be an interpretive display at the Ash Meadows Visitor Center and Death Valley's Furnace Creek Visitor Center emphasizing the fragility and importance of the Devils Hole pupfish and its surrounding ecosystem.

Any potential for vehicle traffic congestion around the site could be mitigated by the use of a slower speed limit (and accompanying signage).